

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,624	10/17/2003	David A. Young	BOE 0432 PA	2590	
44702	7590 08/04/2006		EXAM	INER	
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN PC			DINH, TIE	DINH, TIEN QUANG	
	250 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 825 NEW YORK, NY 10177		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/688,624	YOUNG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tien Dinh	3644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed Grom the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11-15,17-28,30-32 and 34-36 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5, 6, 12, 13, 25, 26, 35, and 36 is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,7-9,11,14,15,17-24,27,28,30-32 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. allowed. <u>and 34</u> is/are rejected.	tion.
··· _		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected travel travel to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath of the content o	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl ity documents have been red i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19-21, 22-24, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR 2303711 in view of Fowler.

FR 2303711 discloses having an arcuate structure wherein the archway has u-shaped cross-section that has components running through it. See page 3, lines 34-page 4, line 10 and figures 3 and 4. FR 2303711 is silent on the system components that run through the hollow archway so that instruments from the lower portion and upper crown portion can communicate with each others. However, FR 2303711 is silent on the system components running through his hollow archway. Fowler teaches that system components that run thru a hollow passageway is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have ran system components through FR 2303711's hollow archways as taught by Fowler to accommodate the passengers. Please note that the use of support system components in the upper and lower lobe portions are well known in this day and age. Plus it is obvious to one

Art Unit: 3644

skilled in the arts to use support components in the upper and lower portion to accommodate the passengers.

Please note that to make a passageway integral merely involves a routine step that one skilled in the art would have taken.

Re claims 22-23, please note that the archway can be used as a divider since a person skilled in the art would put a marker in the archway to designate one end as the front and the other end as the back. Thus an archway that runs through the fuselage would divide the portions of the aircraft into different portions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, 25, 26, 35, and 36 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

The examiner has used Fowler to show that components running through an archway are well known. One skilled in the art would have used component's through FR 2303711's system as taught by Fowler to better accommodate the passengers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Ton soly